Comprehensive genomic profiling reveals novel opportunities for treatment-refractory gastrointestinal cancers

Wei Yen Chan¹, Subotheni Thavaneswaran^{1,2,3}, Frank Lin^{2,3}, Lucille Sebastian³, Mandy Ballinger², John Grady², Maya Kansara², Anthony Joshua^{1,2}, Rasha Cosman¹, John Simes³, David Thomas^{1,2} 1 The Kinghorn Cancer Centre St Vincent's Hospital Sydney, 2 Garvan Institute of Medical Research, 3 NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre

Background

- Comprehensive genomic profiling (CGP) can reveal targetable oncogenic drivers to inform treatment options beyond traditional chemotherapeutics based purely on tumour histology and origin.
- This is a case series from the Cancer Molecular Screening and Therapeutics (MoST) program, which employs molecular screening to identify potential actionable mutations and corresponding treatment¹.

Objectives

To illustrate how genomic findings can offer novel therapeutic opportunities and improve outcomes for patients with treatment-refractory gastrointestinal (GI) cancer.

Objective Treated on clinical **MoST Screening GI** patients Substudy benefit N = 2782 N = 873 N = 40 N = 7

- advanced solid tumours of any with Patients histology undergo molecular screening.
- Genomic alterations are reviewed at molecular tumour boards to identify appropriate biomarkermatched therapeutic substudies.
- Seven GI cancer patients with durable clinical benefit were identified by case review.

Results

Case 1

- POLE encodes DNA polymerase ε, responsible for leading strand DNA replication². POLE mutations result in accumulation of DNA errors, genetic instability and high TMB.
- A patient with pancreatic acinar cell carcinoma (1-2% of pancreatic neoplasms) was treated with durvalumab + tremelimumab following identification of underlying POLE P286R mutation and high TMB (204 mutations/megabase).
- After 7 cycles of study treatment, there was a near complete radiological response in the liver metastasis (Figures 1 and 2), permitting liver metastasectomy, which revealed a complete pathological response.

Neuregulin 1 (NRG1) gene fusion proteins are an important oncogenic driver, enriched amongst KRAS wild-type PDAC $(8-10\%)^5$.



Figure 1. Liver metastasis 135 x 98 mm April 2019



Figure 2. Liver metastasis 17 x 14 mm October 2019

Case 2

cycle pathway alterations occur in 49% of Cell pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas (PDAC) and may confer sensitivity to CDK4/6 inhibitors³.

A PDAC patient harbouring biallelic loss of CDKN2A treated on the palbociclib substudy, maintained stable disease in non-target lesions for >24 months.

Case 3, 4 and 5

BRCA mutations are found in 3.6% of biliary tract tumours (BRCA1 0.6%, BRCA2 3%). PARP inhibitors induce synthetic lethality in BRCA mutated cells⁴.

Treatment on the olaparib + durvalumab substudy yielded two partial responses and one durable stable disease amongst two cholangiocarcinomas and one small bowel adenocarcinoma following detection of BRCA2 alterations.

Case 6

1200 1000 800 600 400 200

Case 7

• A patient with *ERBB2* amplified (25 copies) colorectal cancer was treated on the trastuzumabemtansine substudy and maintained stable disease for 7 months.

Genomic Alteration	Tumour Type	Biomarker Matched Treatment	Best Overall Response	Treatment Duration	Duration of Response	Prior Lines of Treatment
POLE P286R mutation and high TMB (MSI-S)	Pancreatic acinar	Durvalumab + tremelimumab	Complete response	12 months	>24 months (ongoing)	2
CDKN2A loss	Pancreatic ductal	Palbociclib	Non-CR/Non- PD	26 months	26 months	4
BRCA2 mutation	Cholangiocarcinoma	Olaparib + durvalumab	Partial response	31 months	28 months (ongoing)	1
BRCA2 mutation	Cholangiocarcinoma	Olaparib + durvalumab	Partial response	9 months	7 months	2
BRCA2 mutation	Small bowel adenocarcinoma	Olaparib + durvalumab	Stable disease	14 months	14 months	3
ATP1B1-NRG1 fusion	Pancreatic, KRAS WT	Seribantumab	Partial response	9 months	3 months (ongoing)	3
ERBB2 amplification	Colon, KRAS/BRAF WT	Trastuzumab- emtansine	Stable disease	6 months	6 months	2

Table 1. Summary of clinical benefit on biomarker-matched MoST substudy treatments

• NRG1 is the predominant ligand of ERBB3. These fusion proteins drive tumour progression through aberrant ERBB3 activation.

Identification of an ATP1B1-NRG1 gene fusion permitted compassionate access to seribantumab (an anti-HER3 monoclonal antibody); resulting in a partial response (Figure 3) and ongoing treatment for 8 months.



Figure 3. Seribantumab clinical activity in Case 6 (KRAS wt pancreatic adenocarcinoma with ATP1B1-NRG1 fusion)

ERBB2 amplifications occur in 3-4% of mCRC and may identify patients for HER2-directed therapies⁶.

Table 2. Potential actionable genomic alterations of GI patients in the MoST screening cohort⁷

MSI high/MMF ERBB2 overex KRAS G12C ATM BRAF non V60 CHEK1 *POLD1/POLE* ERBB3 overex

PTEN loss of f ERBB2 amplif EGFR/KRAS/N

BRCA1/2 PALB2 BRAF V600E CDKN2A FANCG NRG1 fusions RNF43 STK11

IDH1 R132 FGFR2 fusion ERBB2 amplif BRAF V600E ATM

FGF19 amplif Total GI ca

CGP can identify rare, but therapeutically relevant genomic alterations with the potential to improve clinical outcomes for advanced, GI cancer patients.

Thank you to the Garvan screening team, TKCC and CTC trials team. The MoST program was supported by Roche, Pfizer, Elevation Oncology and Astra Zeneca. Seribantumab was provided by Elevation Oncology through an Expanded Access Program.

1.	Ihavanesv





	TOPOGRAPH highest actionability tier	No. of potential patients					
Colorectal / Duodenal							
R mutations	1B	10					
pression	3	14					
	3	2					
	4	12					
00	4	30					
	4	2					
	4	1					
pression	4	2					
Gastric / GOJ / Oesophageal							
unction mutations	3	6					
ication*	3	8					
IET/RICTOR amplification	4	25					
Pancrea	IS						
	3	14					
	3	3					
	4	1					
	4	30					
	4	1					
	4	1					
	4	6					
	4	7					
Biliary / Gallbladder							
	1B	5					
S	2	11					
ication	3	0					
	3	0					
	4	0					
Hepatocellular Carcinomas							
ication	4	0					
incer patients with actionble	e genomic findings	191					

*only oesophageal cancers included as HER2 directed therapy is part of standard of care treatment

Conclusion

Future research should focus on how best to identify patients who will derive the greatest benefit from this precision oncology approach.

Acknowledgements

References

waran S et al. Med J Aust. 2018 Aug; 209(8) 2. Guenther M et al. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2018 Nov; 144(11) 3. Lin JC et al. J Clin Med. 2020 Dec; 9(12) 4. Spizzo G et al. ESMO Open. 2020 Jun; 5(3) 5. Aguirre AJ. Clin Cancer Res. 2019 Aug; 25(15) 6. Loree JM. JNCI. 2018 Dec; 110(12) 7. Frank PL et al. NPJ Precis Oncol. 2021 Jun; 5(1):58